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Reviewer's report:

I have seen the revised version of the manuscript.

It is now clear that authors report a recurrent mutation in a family and a novel mutation in a single patient.

They still believe that mutations are de novo without having sequencing data of the parents of P3 and P4.

They mentioned that WES was performed in 2 families. It is misleading. WES was carried out in a family and in an isolated case.

Page 6, line 34; It is mentioned that "WES also showed that the c.259C>T mutations was de novo in P3 and P4, respectively", while in other places authors mentioned that P3 carry c.559C>T variant. Please clarify.

A table comparing phenotype of patients with recurrent mutation reported earlier with patient described in this study would help in establishing genotype-phenotype correlation.

The manuscript needs major revision in describing and interpreting the results.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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