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Reviewer's report:

Comments to the Author:

In this study, the authors performed a genetic molecular study for Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome in a Saudi boy with distinct features and variants in both the CREBBP and EP300 genes: A case report.

Major Points:

1. In this study, please illustrate the clinical details in more comprehensive and detailed way.

2. In this study, please describe the methodology for identifying the mutation? Please give us the detailed process and quality control system for identifying the candidate variants.

3. The variant has been written according to the HGVS nomenclature rules? Please confirm it.

4. There are some typos and grammar mistakes in the text and need some editorial improvement.

5. Please, delete irrelevant "general" phrases and sentences.

6. List up and explain all the abbreviation used in this manuscript.

7. Overall written English need to improve.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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