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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Benny,

Thank you for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript. We appreciate your help in polishing our manuscript entitled “Mucopolysaccharidosis IIIB and Mild Skeletal Anomalies: coexistence of NAGLU and CYP26B1 missense variations in the same patient in a Chinese family”. (ID: MGTC-D-17-00335).

We have revised the manuscript carefully according to your suggestions in point-by-point way as follows:

1. We note that the email addresses for the authors are not identical between the manuscript and those provided in Editorial Manager. Please provide an explanation for this occurrence and amend the email addresses so that they are consistent throughout.

Reply: We apologise for the inconsistent email addresses. We hope to keep the jiangyuwu@bjmu.edu.cn as the only account for the manuscript, and I deleted the jiangyw@263.net.
2. In the "Availability of Data and Materials" section, please state where the data can be requested from as the information is currently missing.

Reply: We corrected the sentence.

3. Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship.

Reply: Sorry for making this unclear. We clarified the authors’ contribution according to the actual situation in this revised version.

4. Please have the text edited by a professional language editing service or a native English-speaking colleague. There are some issues with grammar, wording, spelling, and/or punctuation that need to be addressed before acceptance for publication.

Reply: We hired a professional language editing service to help us revise our manuscript.

5. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.

Reply: We added the conclusions as required.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Reply: We have uploaded the manuscript and all the related table, figures and additional files as required.
We very appreciate your help again. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Yuwu Jiang, MD. PhD.
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