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Reviewer's report:

Below are my comments about the article.

Strengths:
- Extensive study with good methodology

Weaknesses:
- Poorly written with incorrect grammar and missing punctuations, typos etc.,
- Improper construct of sentences
- In the introduction, lines 6-8 the content is not clear
- Lines 12-16: too long a sentence, preposition ‘to’ missing in line 13
- Line 31: ‘problems remain’ – elaborate or rephrase
- Please discard ‘just’ and ‘these’ in lines 96 & 107 respectively
- Line 182 it should be ‘significant’ and NOT ‘significantly’ & ‘groups’ instead of ‘group’
- Line 183 – replace ‘larger’ with ‘greater’
- Repetition of methods in Discussion section is redundant
- Conclusion can be in more details
- Not scientifically strong or sound.

Level of interest: An article of insufficient interest to warrant publication in a scientific/medical journal

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.