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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript reported a study regarding a topic to investigate the pathological features of vestibular aqueduct (VA) related high jugular bulb (HJB) and explore the possible cause consequence relation between HJB and endolymphatic hydrops (EH), and the potential specific radiological signs for screening causative HJB in MD. However, this reviewer has some concerns about the study. There some issues that should be clarified and discussed by the authors.

1. Article Title

The title is appropriate.

2. Abstract

Abstract is appropriate. However, there can be only one suggestion for the "Methods" section. Please insert the test that was used in this section of abstract and also include p value for the statistical analysis confident interval in the methods section.

3. Introduction: Review of the Literature

The review of the literature is adequate and updated. However, several studies about MD. Are missing, the authors may wish to add those in this section.

4. Statement of Objectives

The objectives are clear.

5. Material and Methods

The methods are clear and detailed.

6. Statistical Analysis

There is no statistical analysis needed.
7. Results

The results are clear. The tables are also appropriate.

8. Discussion

The inferred results are well described.

9. Conclusions

This reviewer agrees with the conclusion drawn in the study.

10. Figures

Appropriate.

11. References

The references are generally good, there are some minor errors which can be corrected.

12. Grammar and Style

Recommend the manuscript be reviewed for spelling, punctuation and grammar. There are few mistakes in the reference list which should be corrected. is in need of further refinement.

This manuscript reported a study regarding a topic to investigate the pathological features of vestibular aqueduct (VA) related high jugular bulb (HJB) and explore the possible causeconsequence relation between HJB and endolymphatic hydrops (EH), and the potential specific radiological signs for screening causative HJB in MD. However, this reviewer has some concerns about the study. There some issues that should be clarified and discussed by the authors. Given the structure and the base of the study the study can be accepted with minor revision.
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Yes

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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