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1- Page (31-39) I advise this sentence to be shortened- However in clinical practice, CT and MRI scans are expensive, not widely available and have an inherent risk of adverse reactions associated with-----

2- Following this please add that sometimes patients may have high urea and creatine levels and may be in early stages of kidney disease and may bot be able to tolerate CT and MRI contrast media and US may be useful in this scenario as well.

3- page 8-line 37 Guo et al " slow wash out time " contradicts the fast wash out observed in the case study please clarify this.

4- Is it possible to discriminate large cel neuroendocrine bladder cancer from other common bladder tumors especially transitional cell carcinoma of the badder. TCC of the bladder or squamous type's features are the most studied and variance of LCNE tumor from this type of tumor need to be discussed in the discussion as well regarding US features and CEUS features as well.

5- In the presence of a bladder tumor , ureterovesical -ureteric involvement and presence of hyrdonephrosis are also important and the need to evaluate there parametersvshould be mentioned as well.
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