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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editors,

Thank you very much for your comments on and suggestions for our manuscript BMIM-D-20-00061R2, entitled " Application of contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma in urinary bladder: a case report” which are very valuable and have helped us revise and improve our manuscript. We appreciate all of your work on our manuscript. We have carefully studied your comments and corrected our manuscript accordingly. We hope this version of the manuscript meets your approval. The main corrections in the paper and the response to the editor and reviewers are as follows:

Response

1. While assessing your manuscript in-house, we found several instances where the text displayed similarities to text found in other previously published sources. While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. We would therefore be grateful if you could reformulate the sections listed below to resolve the overlap between your manuscript and other sources. Please ensure that, where relevant, these sources are also referenced as appropriate.

This overlap mainly exists in the below sections:
 a. Background, entire section
b. Case presentation, lines 6-18 “At 13 s, the enhancement of the tumor… completely washed out after 300s.”

c. Discussion and conclusion, lines 20-59 “CEUS with TICs parameters… no enhancement during all phases.”.
Response: Thank you very much for your careful review. We have revised the following parts of our paper:

a. Background, entire section;

b. Case presentation, the second paragraph, “The tumor reached the peak enhancement at 13 s……. These CEUS features suggested a diagnosis of urinary bladder malignancy.”

c. Discussion and conclusion, the fourth paragraph.