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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

We are grateful for your appreciation and precise revisions to our manuscript. These comments are helpful for improving my paper. We have studied comments carefully. The answers to the reviewer’s comments are as follows:

Junaid Ahmed (Reviewer 2):

1. The corrections are satisfactory but the fundamental question of the study being conducted on patients and analysed for results in comparison to other techniques for a better understanding of its efficacy still remains.

Response: Thank you for your reminder. In the revised manuscript, we added the corresponding paper-based imaging reports in the results (Results section, Page 4, Figure 1-3), which can help readers to make a more intuitive comparison. And we have illustrated this limitation in the Discussion section (Page 5).

Quantitative research compared to other techniques is ongoing, and we look forward to sharing more quantitative and objective results in the future.
Thanks for your consideration.

Best regards.

Sincerely Yours,

Qinghua Qiu