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Reviewer's report:

The authors investigated the solid-pseudo papillary neoplasms of pancreas (SPNP) with multi-slice computer tomography (MSCT) features. Typically, SPNP is often seen in female patients. In this manuscript, the authors showed the differences of imaging features between males and females. The manuscript was well written. However, there are some issues that needs to be addressed before publication.

Major issues:

#1 Poor image quality

Figure 1 to 4 showed representative images of SPNP. However, the quality of the images is not suitable for publication. High-quality images should be made from the original DICOM images.

1) The resolution is not high enough.

2) Crop the images to emphasize the key points of the images.

3) Adjust the display conditions of the images: "window width (WW)" and "window level (WL)". The most images tended to be wide WW and high WW.

#2 Representative images of three types of SPNP

As the authors described in Table 2, SPNP can be divided into three types: solid, solid and cystic, and cystic, depending on dominant components. If possible, please add representative images of each subtype.
Minor issues:

#1 Abstract on online submission system
Before Methods, Results and Conclusion, insert line feeds.

Abstract, in conclusion, ...from that of female patients. &gt; from those of female patients.

p3L70, ...males and females. &lt; Add references.

Table 2, maximum tumor diameter: What do these values indicate, (2.0,6.4) and (4.6,14.6)? Range?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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