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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Please include a statement regarding the contributions of all authors under the “Authors’ Contribution” section of the Declarations. Please represent author’s names using their full initials, not their name. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship

Answer: The following text has been added to the Declarations section of the final document.

"Authors’ contributions

All authors (BK, JNA, ÖS, AP, HS and MS) have contributed to the conception, design of the work and interpretation of the data. BK has been responsible for acquisition, drafting and revision of the manuscript in collaboration with the other authors and ÖS for performing the statistical analysis."

2. In order to be in line with journal requirements, please include the following headings within your manuscript: Background, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions

Answer: The amendments have been made as required.
3. We note that you have not included a ‘Consent for publication’ section in the Declarations. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.

Answer: Amended as suggested, the following text has been added in the declarations section.

"Consent for publication

Not applicable"

4. In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Answer: The funding body has not been involved in the design of the study and collection, analyses, interpretation of the data and writing the manuscript. The following text has been added to the funding section:

"The funding bodies have had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript."

5. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

Answer: We extend our thanks to the Editor, Dr. Xi Peng, Ahmed Abdel Khalek Abdel Razek, Ankur Goyal (first review) and Dominik Spinczyk and Ernest Ekpo for reviewing the submission and are grateful to all of them for the constructive feedback we have received to improve our article. Responses to editors comments have been added to the reviewers box in Editorial Manager and a clean version of the manuscript has been uploaded together with additional files as separate files. Citing of figures, tables and additional files are in order.