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Reviewer's report:

This paper proposes a theoretical model that uses technology acceptance model to investigate and incorporate variety of factors affecting physicians' actual use of B-flow ultrasonography (B-flow USG).

In general, the paper is well written, easy to follow, quite interesting and has a solid contribution to the literature. This is the first paper that focuses on factors affecting the adoption of B-flow USG. In addition the author makes a good review of the literature.

There are minor points that might be revised by the author which would improve the paper.

Abstract Line 15: The version of the SmartPLS software can be stated.

Abstract Line 16: The number of hypotheses can be provided.

Page 3 Line 1: Edit as "B-flow ultrasonography (B-flow USG)".

Page 5 Line 16: Remove ", which was proposed by Davis,".

Page 11 Table 1: Show the ratio of "Training and Research Hospital".

Page 12 Table 2: Edit the table, so that the items of the actual use corresponds to item codes AU1, AU2, AU3.
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