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Reviewer's report:

This is a nice case report. Overall well written and interesting. Few minor changes will improve its quality imho:

1. Please spell-out COPD.

2. Please replace Rocephin with ceftriaxone and do not capitalize azithromycin.

3. Please add information regarding the thoracentesis such as site of puncture, quantity and characteristics of pleural effusion.

4. Please replace "CT with contrast" with contrast-enhanced CT.

5. After how much time was the Pt discharged?

6. I'm assuming CT was performed during the arterial phase. If so, the discussion should give emphasis to such point, since that was crucial to properly identify the pseudoaneurysm. CT has been generally overlooked in the discussion. Please add some statements. At the end of the day, it played a pivotal role in the diagnostic process.

7. A coronal volume rendering of the CT examination would be a nice improvement to the figures. I'd place it with current figure 5, showing basically the vessel with both modalities.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
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