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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed the comments in my initial review and the manuscript is substantially improved.

I have two outstanding concerns:

1) pg 7 there is the statement that there was "complete disappearance of the lesions on follow-up MR images after 1 month"
   I am not sure this is accurate. Please compare the FLAIR images in Figure 1 vs 2. Overall the T2 hyperintense region appears to have increased overall. If this is in fact the case this should be commented on in the manuscript. The wording "complete" in the above sentence should be changed then to "partial"

2) pg 10, Figure 2 legend. "owing to the preservation of motor axons by the presence of the intramyelinic clefts." This statement about the relationship between DWI signal changes and pathology is speculative and not supported by any references. I would suggest adding the word "possibly" to reflect this uncertainty.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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