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Reviewer’s report:

I think this is a good paper.

My only major concern is, as I understand that you ranked the Likert score of diagnostic confidence, however were there any cases in which the radiologist or the clinicians were unable to see the catheter tip on the regularly processed image, and were only able to see it on the images that will process using your algorithm. This is the important clinical question as opposed to just a theoretical question. If the answer to this is no, that’s fine it just should be addressed and I did not see it in your data.

Additionally, I found the discussion to be slightly circular and redundant. I would like the introductory portion of the discussion to be more organized.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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