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Author’s response to reviews:

Dr Dirk Krüger Editor

BMC MEDICAL IMAGING

RE: Submission of the re-revised manuscript ID: BMIM-D-18-00088R2 entitled: “Atypical localization of intraosseous angioleiomyoma in the rib of a pediatric patient: a case report” to the BMC Medical Imaging.

October 30, 2018

Dear Dr Krüger:

Herewith we submit to you a re-revised manuscript ID: BMIM-D-18-00088R2 entitled: “Atypical localization of intraosseous angioleiomyoma in the rib of a pediatric patient: a case
We found the Reviewer’s suggestions very helpful. Below we address the comments in detail.

The changes within the revised manuscript text which were introduced as a response to suggestions by the Reviewer are marked in red. Minor textual changes introduced by us for clarification and correction of the text are marked by underlining.

Changes according to the comments of Reviewer 1

1. As previous comments, the lesion is mostly hyperintense relative to muscles on FSE T1WI in Fig 1A. This was corrected in the main manuscript (line 94), but in figure caption this was not corrected. Please the correction should be rewritten in figure caption, too (line 383).

   Author response: The Fig. 1A caption has now been modified in line with this remark of Reviewer 1, line 385.

And according to above comments, differential diagnosis in discussion section should be also revised. In fibrous dysplasia (line 171), Ewing sarcoma (line 194), Langerhans cell histiocytosis (line 205) the case was written as identical or matched to the tumors because of 'hypo- to isointensity in T1W'. These texts should be corrected and the tumors were not matched to the reported case.

   Author response: Differential diagnosis in Discussion section was revised as suggested, for Fibrous dysplasia, lines 170-174; Ewing sarcoma, lines 193-195; Langerhans cell histiocytosis, lines 205-208.

2. In line 91, 'the fourth rib' would be better to change as 'the right fourth rib'.

   Author response: The tumour localization has now been changed to “the right fourth rib” as suggested by Reviewer 1,

3. In line 101, 'In figure 1 (A-E)' should be changed to 'In figure 1 (A-D)'.

   Author response: The reference to figure 1 has now been changed in line 101 to (A-D) as requested.
4. As previous comment, angioleiomyoma in tibia was reported, but in discussion (line 134) 'leiomyoma of the tibia' was written. Leiomyoma is not same with angioleiomyoma.

Author response: This error noted by Reviewer 1 has now been corrected in line 134 as requested.

5. In line 161, 'cartilaginous' should be changed to 'cartilaginous tumor'.

Author response: As requested, we now mention “cartilaginous tumour”, line 162.

6. In line 383, 'T1WFSE' should be changed to 'FSE T1W'.

Author response: As suggested here and in the point 1 above, “T1WFSE” has been changed to “FSE T1W”, line 385.

7. Figure captions was mixedly written with sentences and phrase. The figure captions should be written as sentences not phrase.

Author response: Figure captions have now been modified as suggested by Reviewer 1.

We hope that you will find our manuscript now after its revision acceptable for publication in BMC Medical Imaging. We look forward to your response at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,

Goran Djuricic, MD, PhD