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Author’s response to reviews:

RESPONSE TO EDITOR’S COMMENTS

Thank you for clarification of several questions. Your time and effort is appreciated.

1. Please remove the CARE checklist and the cover letter from your submission; these were required for the review process but not required for publication.

   Response: We had removed the CARE checklist and the cover letter from our submission. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

2. Please move the Abbreviations section to follow the conclusions.

   Response: We had removed the Abbreviations section to follow the conclusions. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

3. In the Funding section of the Declarations please indicate the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. If no specific funding was received for this study, please clearly indicate this in the Funding section.

   Response: We added the followings “The funders played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.” in the Funding section. Please refer to Declarations section, line 46, page 14. Thanks for your friendly reminder.
4. Please revise your current Availability of Data and Materials statement to reflect one of the formats indicated in our submission guidelines:

https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials

Response: We had revised Availability of Data and Materials statement to reflex the format in the submission guidelines. Please refer to Declarations section, line 31, page 14. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

5. Please remove the following from the Authors' contributions:

'and declare that no drugs/instruments were used off-label or for investigational purposes'

Response: We had removed those words in the Authors' contributions. Please refer to Declarations section, line 4, page 15. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

6. Please use initials only rather than full names in the Authors' contributions section

Response: We had revised the Authors' contributions section. Please refer to Declarations section, line 56, page 14. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

7. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

Response: We had uploaded our manuscript as a single, final, clean version. The point-by-point response letter was in the designated input box only. Thanks for your friendly reminder.

RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS' COMMENTS

Reviewer #1: Dear Ernest Ekpo, Ph.D,

Thank you for clarification of several questions. Your time and effort is appreciated.
I have no further comments except minor English Language editing

Response: Thanks for your friendly suggestion. We had applied English language editing by Editage service from the web site www.editage.com for revised manuscript to make the manuscript more fluent in English.