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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript reported a study regarding a topic about morphology of maxillary first and second molars analyzed using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) of which can be interesting to some readers of this journal. However, this reviewer has some concerns about the study.

Although the study is extensive and carefully conducted, I believe the study is not suitable for publication in the journal since it is not adding further info to the literature apart from a population study. There are numerous studies for different particular populations in the literature in which the data that the authors evaluated. Besides, as mentioned above, the vast of morphological study that was investigated in the manuscript importance/value of the study is low apart from other studies on the field and not much further adding new information. I suggest the authors to send to a local journal which may attract more attention by the authors' community.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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