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Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for the review of the work and the possibility to print the article in “BMC Medical Imaging”

The current version of the manuscript has been corrected according to all the suggestions of the Reviewer, i.e.:

1. A fragment of the article in “Background, 1st paragraph” has been changed in the following way: This variation can be attributed to the different methods that were used by the researchers, such as study protocols (in vivo or in vitro); sample size; and techniques used to identify canal configuration (3, 6, 7). This variation could also be associated with age, sex, and ethnic differences in the study populations (8).

2. A fragment of the article in “Methods, 2nd paragraph” has been changed as follows:
The inclusion criteria were to have at least one first or second upper molar with fully-developed apices. Teeth showing root resorption, root canal treatment, post, or other crown reconstruction that would make difficult to assess their anatomy were excluded. Of the 300 CBCT scans examined, 112 fulfilled the above criteria.

3. All tables have been formatted according to the template enclosed by the Reviewer.

4. The last two sentences of the "conclusions" section in Abstract were deleted because the message was "too long abstract" (the message in system)

Best regards

Katarzyna Olczak

Halina Pawlicka