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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr. Cookson,

Thank you for the favorable evaluation of our article entitled “Cardiac computed tomography imaging Follow-Up of Iatrogenic Aorto-Coronary “Dunning” Dissections (BMIM-D-17-00111)” for your kind consideration for publication in BMC Medical Imaging.
We revised our manuscript according to all of the editors and reviewers´ proposals.

We do hope sincerely, that you now feel able to accept our manuscript for final publication in BMC Medical Imaging.

Sincerely,

S. Baumann
M. Behnes
I. Akin

Editor comments:

1. Please respond to the comment from the AE: "Maybe in the conclusion, since this is a pictorial assay now, I would tone down a bit, e.g. "Cta can be helpful ..."

   We have revised the conclusion in according to the suggestion of the reviewer.

2. Please change the title Introduction to 'Background' in both the abstract and main text.

   The changes were performed in the abstract and main text.

3. Please complete a copy edit of the entire manuscript and correct any errors that you find. For example "Optimal dDiagnostic assessment" in the abstract. When you have made revisions, please re-upload the abstract into the submission system.

   The errors were corrected and the abstract was re-uploaded.
4. In the declarations section please name the ethics committee that approved the study.

The added the name of the responsible ethics committee (University Medical Centre Mannheim).

5. Please move the consent for publication statement to the 'Ethics approval and consent to participate' section. Please also confirm whether consent was written or verbal.

We merged the two paragraphs and added the statement, that the informed consent was verbal.

6. In the 'Consent for publication' section, please provide a separate statement to confirm that the participants provided written informed consent for their individual data to be published. If they did not then we would be grateful if you could remove the patient age and sex information from the main text and figure legends as this information could be identifiable. Please then also state 'Not applicable' in the Consent for publication section.

We stated, that the patients provided informed verbal consent and removed the patient age and sex information in the main text and the figure legend.

7. Please remove the cover letter and response to reviewers files as these will no longer be required.

Both files are removed.

8. When you have completed all the requested revisions please upload an entirely clean version of the manuscript with no revisions indicated.

The deleted the prior versions and uploaded a final clean version.

9. Finally, we appreciate that this is a pictorial essay, however, we do not have an article type to match this. We would be grateful if you could change the article type to 'Research article' on re-submission as this is the most appropriate article type.

We have changed the article type to research article and agree, that this is the most appropriate article type.
Associate Editor Comments (Frank G Zöllner):

The authors revised the manuscript accordingly to the comments of the previous two reviewers. Maybe in the conclusion, since this is a pictorial assay now, I would tone down a bit, e.g. "Cta can be helpful.

We have revised the conclusion in accordance to the suggestion of the reviewer.