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Reviewer's report:

Atypical findings of perineural cysts on postmyelographic computed tomography: a case report of intermittent intercostal neuralgia due to thoracic perineural cysts

Overall Impression: The authors provide an illustrated case of a less well understood entity. The manuscript is well written. I have the following recommendations to improve the manuscript:

1. Since this is a scientific monograph, please use proper scientific verbiage at all places. For example, please replace these terms: "lay down", "washed away", "eradicated", "disappeared", "operation", "vanish". I would suggest having this manuscript edited by professional scientific language editor, which would significantly improve the flow and ease of readability.

2. Kindly change "Th" to "T" throughout the manuscript, and in image annotations.

3. Abstract: Appropriate

4. Keywords: Please use keywords from MeSH database only to improve visibility and search-ability of your article. ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh))

5. Background: Appropriate

6. Case Presentation:
a. Was the nerve block done under imaging guidance? If yes, please provide 1-2 pertinent images.

b. Suggest change "Iohexol 5.177g" to contrast concentration per mL. It might be easier to mention brand name, if used (e.g. Omnipaque 240).

7. Discussion: A short discussion about the potential role of advanced imaging modalities, such as MR Neurography should be considered.

8. Conclusion: Appropriate

9. References: Up to date and pertinent.


11. Abbreviations: Include FIESTA

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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