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Reviewer's report:

I would like to comment the authors as this manuscript is a much improved document that previously reviewed.

I would suggest that the background and aim in the abstract should correlate with the aims raised in line Page 5: 15-38 in the background. The abstract background is broad and does not fit in the objective or title of this study.

Personally not fond of the use of the word 'machine' in the script as it does not have a scientific ring to it. Rather refer specifically to the VITEK model/apparatus.

Page 4: Line 7-10 stipulates various facts, but lacks referencing.

Page 7: line 7: each isolate (single)

    Line 9: Correct VITAK

    Line 52: "to pull out him/her from the study": suggest it's replaced with: 'to withdraw from the study'

    Line 55: "filled out": suggest it's replaced with 'completed'

Page 11 line 20: "than:" suggest it's replaced with 'compared to'

Table 2 Its is an improvement adding the references. Should it not be in chronological order based on date of data collection as the author is trying to show a shift (earliest at the bottom and the latest at the top). Should an extra column for year not be included or is the author assuming that the sample collection and the publication is the same date?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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