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Author’s response to reviews:
Detailed response to the comments

1. Please address the comments provided by reviewer 1. These can be found below.
Response: I have clarified the meaning of BMU more clearly.

2. In the “Ethics and consent to participate” section of the Declarations, please state the reason you obtained verbal consent and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.
Response: Sorry for not clearly described this process. Verbal consent was required at the BMU, if patients consent to use EMM, nurses would programmed the EMM and let the patients back home. Community physicians who required to visit patients responsible for letting patients sign the informed consent at the first home visit. Because the nurses were too busy at the hospital, meanwhile the community physicians were required to visit patients within 10 days after starting treatment, and they also have the responsibility for guiding patients to use EMM.

3. In the Availability of data and materials section please clearly state who should be contacted if someone wants to request the data.
Response: I have add the contact person.

4. Please consider the list of authors as it currently stands with reference to our guidelines regarding qualification for authorship (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship).
Currently, the contributions of authors Amelia Kinter do not automatically qualify them for authorship. In the section “Authors’ contributions”, please provide further clarifications on their contributions, and see our guidelines for authorship below.

Response: Dr. Kinter contributed to the writing of the manuscript also. The comparison of workload between DOT and EMM was proposed by her and she also designed the table 4.

5. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Response: Revised as required.