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Reviewer's report:

Page 2, Lines 48 - 52: The authors wrote "Besides a chemotherapy-related mucositis and an indwelling Broviac catheter (removed), no infection focus was identified in the physical examination was removed. Moraxella nonliquefaciens was identified in blood cultures. After antibiotic treatment and neutrophile recovery, the patient could be discharged."

This may be better expressed as "Besides chemotherapy-related mucositis and an indwelling Broviac catheter (removed), no infection focus was identified on physical examination. Moraxella nonliquefaciens was identified in blood cultures. After antibiotic treatment and neutrophil recovery, the patient was fit for discharge."

Page 4, Lines 100 and 101: "A computed tomography of the chest was within normal limits" may be better expressed as "A computed tomography of the chest revealed no abnormality"

Page 6, Lines 133 - 136: The authors wrote "In spite of lacking specific susceptibility breakpoints for M. nonliquefaciens are available, an in vitro resistance pattern frequent in the genus Moraxella was observed, with resistance against penicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin und piperacillin...."

Consider rewriting as ""In spite of a lack of specific susceptibility breakpoints for M. nonliquefaciens, an in vitro resistance pattern frequent in the genus Moraxella was observed, with resistance against penicillin, amoxicillin, ampicillin and piperacillin...."

Page 14: This is a beautiful figure summarizing the timeline of this infection. It is noticed that there is no reference made to this figure in the body of the text. The authors should consider making reference to this figure where appropriate within the text. In addition, a befitting title should be given to this figure. Finally, "Stamm cell" should be corrected to read "stem cell" in this figure.
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