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Author’s response to reviews:

-----------------------

Reviewers

-----------------------

Reviewer 1

1. The conclusion in the "Abstract" has not reflected the correction made in the main text. The statement "The knowledge and attitude towards pregnancy-related issues of ZIKV infection are still relatively low among GPs in Indonesia" should be rephrased. It needs to reflect that the knowledge was high but attitude was poor.

Authors Responses:

Thank you for your comments. We now have revised the abstract.

Revised text: Abstract, Page 5 (Colored)

Although the knowledge on pregnancy-related complications of ZIKV infection is relatively high among GPs in Indonesia, more than 75% of them had a poor attitude towards pregnancy-related issues of Zika.

2. Other corrections were reasonably done.

Authors Responses:

No response

Reviewer 2

Reviewer 2: REVISION ASSESSMENT FROM THE ACADEMIC PEER REVIEWER:

Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? Yes
Authors Responses:

No response

------------------------

Journal Requirements

------------------------

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

Author Responses:

None of the reviewers asked for English corrections (and we had a native English language review revisions to the manuscript). All of the errors and typos that have been pointed by reviewers have already been revised.

------------------------

Editorial Policies

------------------------

Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements, this may cause a delay while this is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all manuscript submissions to BMC Infectious Diseases must contain a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/).

Where a mandatory Declarations section is not relevant to your study design or article type, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.
For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must confirm this under this sub-heading and also provide their reasons. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page (see links below).
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- Acknowledgements

Authors Responses:

We had included all items of the Declarations Section