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Reviewer's report:

The aim of the manuscript is to describe an imported case of meningitis and epididymitis caused by Toscana virus from Italy to Switzerland. They also described the importance of the correct diagnosis avoiding the unnecessary use of antibiotics or specific antiviral, as anti herpes therapy. Finally, they concluded that the metagenomic is a crucial tool for the diagnosis of unknown agents.

The manuscript is well written as the experimental design. I have no criticized to do, only some minor commentaries and recommendations.

1) Cite in the text the methodology used for the detection of IgG and IgM.
2) As described in the literature, the genotype A is the main or the only genotype circulating in Italy (Baggieri et al. 2015 doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2015.01.013). This imported case (from Italy) was caused by genotype B. What is the relevance of finding a different genotype that is potentially circulating in Italy?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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