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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript entitled "In Vitro Activities of Eravacycline against 336 Isolates collected from 2012 to 2016 from 11 Teaching Hospitals in China "contains interesting results on the field. However, the methods are not clear and details are missing and it seems the drug susceptibility method was subjective. The authors should provide more primary data. The other major flaw is in English Language and formatting errors throughout the manuscript.

1- The methods are not clear and details are missing and its need improvement. The authors should provide more primary data.

2- Please added PCR section and then please added amounts of each substance in RT-PCR reaction.

3- Result section is very long and needs much work to improve it

4- The conclusions are supported by their data; however, the paper is not focused and needs much work to improve it.

5- No limitations of the study are indicated. Please add "limitation and suggestion in end of paper.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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