Author’s response to reviews

Title: In vitro Activities of Eravacycline against 336 Isolates Collected from 2012 to 2016 from 11 Teaching Hospitals in China

Authors:

Chunjiang Zhao (chunkiang@163.com)
Xiaojuan Wang (curliele@163.com)
Yawei Zhang (z_yw1990@163.com)
Ruobing Wang (ruobing10612@163.com)
Qi Wang (wangqi99887@sina.com)
Henan Li (lhnpku@163.com)
Hui Wang (whuibj@163.com)

Version: 1 Date: 12 Oct 2018

Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor.

We have carried out the corrections as below.

1. Please include a statement on ethics approval and consent to participate in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section of the Declarations. Please include the full name of the ethics committee (and the institute to which it belongs) that approved the study and the committee’s reference number if appropriate. If you did not need formal ethics approval please confirm that this complies with national guidelines and provide a reference which supports this. Alternatively, supply a statement that says that a local ethics committee ruled that no formal ethics approval was required in this particular case.

Response: A statement on ethics approval in the “Ethics approval and consent to participate” section.
2. We note that you have not included a ‘Consent for publication’ section in the Declarations. If identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants are presented that compromise anonymity, a statement of consent to publish from the patient should be included. This section must be included even if it is not applicable to your manuscript. If consent to publish is not applicable to your manuscript please write ‘Not Applicable’ in this section.

Response: A ‘Consent for publication’ section was added.

3. Please include the email addresses for all authors on the title page. The corresponding author should still be indicated.

Response: Emails addresses for all the authors were added on the title page.

4. Please format your abstract under the following headings:
   • Background
   • Methods
   • Results
   • Conclusions

More information on what should be included in these sections can be found at https://bmcinfectedis.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article

Response: Abstract was formatted as required.

5. We note that you have not included a ‘Funding’ section in the Declarations. All sources of funding for the research reported should be declared. If no funding was obtained for your study we still require this section to be included with the statement “No funding was obtained for this study”.

Response: A ‘Funding’ section was added in the Declarations.

6. We note that you have not included an acknowledgements section. If you have no acknowledgements please put ‘Not Applicable’ in this section.
Response: an 'acknowledgements section' was added.

7. Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.

Response: A list of all the abbreviations was added as required.

8. Please provide figure titles/legends under a separate heading of 'Figure Legends' after the References. If Figure titles/legends are within the main text of the manuscript, please move them.

Figure files should contain only the image/graphic, as well as any associated keys/annotations. Where titles/legends are present within the figure files, please remove them.

Figures should be provided as separate files, and each figure of a manuscript should be submitted as a single file.

Response: Figure titles/legends were added after the References.

Sincerely

Hui Wang

Peking University People's Hospital