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Reviewer's report:

The authors have thoroughly addressed the concerns of this reviewer. It is an excellent healthcare center study that will provide Senegal and similar countries with essential data to improve rabies control practices. I have only a few more comments to consider to solve some lingering inconsistencies in the manuscript:

LINE 81: Expected, not excepted.

LINE 103: specify if a tool was used to determine if PEP was needed or if this is the subjective opinion of the medical provider.

LINE 218: shouldn't the denominator be 847, the number of people who took the D0 doses?

LINE 219: second dose or D7? the first and second doses are both given on D0, are they not?

LINE 250: Line 180 says 4.4% of animals were vaccinated.

LINE 275 says 2-week but LINE 279 says 10-day. which is it?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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