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To the authors

Loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assays for Mycoplasma pneumoniae (MP) infection are currently under development. Therefore, few reports are available for analyzing overall accuracy of LAMP assays for MP infection from multiple study results. This article is interesting, but some comments will be mentioned.

The author should avoid uncommon abbreviations. Are "SEN" and "SP" commonly known and understood?

All abbreviations should be spelled out on first use in the abstract and figure, as well as in the first use in the body of the manuscript.

The words of the binomen are in a different language from that of the main document. Thus, the Genus name should be expressed as italics.

The author should clearly distinguish bacterial species name "Mycoplasma pneumoniae" and disease name "Mycoplasma pneumonia. Which MP represents "Mycoplasma pneumoiae" or "Mycoplasma pneumonia"?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
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