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Reviewer's report:

95 is "ribosome-banding", should be ribosome-binding

97 is "ribosome-banding", should be ribosome-binding

103 please delete the space at the beginning of the sentence

109 is "linezolide or vacomycin", should be linezolid or vancomycin

115 please delete the space at the beginning of the sentence

119-121 please delete the text shown in the "show all" version

139 is "identificaton", should be identification

205 please delete full stop at the end of this sentence

210 please delete full stop after "L"

243 please delete the space at the beginning of the sentence

262 is "cMLST", should be cMLSB

285 please replace hard spaces with normal ones

305 is "polymorphysm, should be polymorphism

333 is „Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococcus Aureus“, should be methicillin sensitive Staphylococcus aureus

333-334 is "Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus", should be methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus

342 please delete the hart space at the beginning of the sentence
375 is "R.R", should be RR.

377 is "S9-S17", should be 9-17

384 is "Jaqusch", should be Jagusch

References: 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.

The correct arrangement of the text requires the elimination of hard spaces and the use of normal ones.

388 to 473 please replace hard spaces with normal ones

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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**Declaration of competing interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal.