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24th January, 2019

Oliver Cocks
Editorial Manager
BMC Infectious Diseases

Dear Mr Cocks,

Re: INFD-D-18-01325

EFFECT OF TWO ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF POOLING SPUTUM PRIOR TO TESTING WITH XPERT MTB/RIF
Revision 5

Thank you for provisionally accepting this for publication. We have addressed the issues raised in your recent correspondence (see below) and, as requested, uploaded only a clean copy, without track changes.

Editor comments

1. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.

Done

2. In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Done

3. Please remove word counts from your title page.

Done
4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Done

Yours sincerely,

Nguyen Thi Bich Phuong