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Author’s response to reviews:

Author’s Response to Editor Comments from December 19, 2018 Email

1. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.

Author’s Response: We have added this section titled, ‘Conclusions’ and have summarized the main point of this original research, which explains the importance and relevance of this body of work.

2. Please change the section heading “Methodology” to “Methods”.

Author’s Response: We have changed this section to state, ‘Methods’.
3. Figures should be provided as separate files, and each figure of a manuscript should be submitted as a single file.

Author’s Response: All figures have been uploaded as separate files.

4. Please remove any additional files you do not want published alongside your manuscript, including cover/response letters.

Author’s Response: We have removed the cover/response letter and have replied to the email with our response to the Editor’s Comments.

5. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Author’s Response: We have uploaded the manuscript as a single, final, clean version without evidence of stray marks or comments. All figures are also uploaded as separate files.

BMC Infectious Diseases operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

Author’s Response: Thank you. We sincerely appreciate the time and effort to review our manuscript by the named reviewers and have viewed their reports and the names of the reviewers.

Reviewer reports:

Author’s Response: We have reviewed the reports during the process of the revisions made by our co-authors.