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Author’s response to reviews:

Catherine Stein

Editor BMC Infectious Diseases

Manuscript ID: INFD-D-18-00679R1

Article Title: Interferon-γ release assay as a sensitive diagnostic tool of latent tuberculosis infection in patients with HIV: a cross-sectional study

On behalf of my coauthors, I am pleased to resubmit our amended manuscript to BMC Infectious Diseases and would like to extend our gratitude to the Editors who have helped us improve our manuscript. We have revised our manuscript and have addressed the queries, point by point. Below we inventory the query and our response. The revised manuscript has also been uploaded as a single, final, clean version without tracked changes.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to resubmit our revised manuscript.

Kind regards.

Yours sincerely,
Mauro Jose Costa Salles, MD, MSc, PhD
Assistant Professor, Infectious Diseases Clinic, Internal Medicine Department
Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP)
Santa Casa de São Paulo School of Medical Sciences
Rua Dr Cesareo Mota Jr 112, São Paulo, 01303-060, Brazil
Email: salles.infecto@gmail.com
Office: +55 11 21146262; Fax: +55 11 21146363.

Editor queries:

Technical Comments:

Reviewer reports:

Walter N. Dehority (Reviewer 2): All salient modifications appear to have been made or appropriately addressed. It should be noted that in the revised manuscript, lines 357-358 contain the phrase "This argument, as suggested, was added in the paragraph of the limitations of the study." This seems to have mistakenly been taken from the response letter to the editor and should be removed/modified in the text of the manuscript.

Authors’ response: We thank the reviewer for pointing out typographical error, which we have now amended in the text (line 357). We therefore, removed this sentence from the manuscript.