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In revising the reviewed version of the manuscript, suggestions made by both reviewers have been quite successfully corrected and included, but I still find some aspects that I would like to point out for their correction.

On page 3 lines 8-14 it isn't clear to me what the true aim of study is:

The aim of the study is to give observational data on vaccination status and in delay in healthcare attendance in order to strengthen surveillance and improve outbreak control and prevention?

1. Again - Still needs some revisions for it to be published. There is no consistency on capital and low case in some terms. Revise the English grammar some articles are missing, take care of singular and plural in nouns, too.

2. Corrected tables 1 and 2, they could easily merge into one table also.

3. Including immunization calendar for meales would be also helpful

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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