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Reviewer's report:

I have read the manuscript entitled "Self-reported genital warts among sexually-active university students: a cross-sectional study" and feel it is a useful research on this topic. However, I have a number of concerns that I feel should be addressed before this article can fully considered for publication:

1. The title mentioned "sexually-active university students", but in the "statistical analysis" part P6 mentioned "Respondents who reported never having had sexual intercourse were excluded from the multivariate analysis". My question is how many of sexually-inactive students. Whether they should be excluded from this study?

2. The authors did not specify the multivariate analysis variable selection criteria.

3. For the result part, I noticed that some important variables were not analyzed in depth, such as HPV vaccine.

4. Some important outcome variables may be a bit far-fetched. For the variable "number of sexual partners in past 24 months", it was discrete from the Mean and SD, as well as OR values were not so convinced with using continuous variables of multi-factor analysis.

5. Table 1 may have calculation error, for the total number of 'single relationship'.

6. I suggest Table 2 should list the exact number of GW cases for each variable.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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