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Reviewer’s report:

The revision made by the authors is satisfactory to me, however, I have a few additional comments as follow.

Methods:
1. Please provide full term of “NACO” at its first use.
2. In the part Data collection, please use “HAART” instead of ART to be consistent with acronym in other places throughout the paper.
3. Virologic failure was defined as a persistent viral load above 5000, how many times should that be? (suggest saying “a confirmed HIV RNA > 5,000 copies/mL (on two consecutive measurements)”).

Results:
4. On page 6, “CMV” is mentioned only once, it might be better to spell out the whole word. For Herpes and Isospora, please provide the full organism names.

Discussion:
5. “ESR” was not ever mentioned in the method and the result, but it came up in the discussion. Please consider adding some information on this measurement in the 2 previous sections.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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