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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

We would like to submit a revised version of our manuscript “A population-based observational study comparing Cervista and Hybrid Capture 2 methods: Improved relative specificity of the Cervista assay by increasing its cut-off” (MS: 1248854987129785).

We have now extended the ethics statement to include the name of the ethics committee, which determined exemption from ethical approval (lines 99/100). We hope that this new version of our paper will be considered suitable for publication in BMC Infectious Diseases.

Yours faithfully,
Thomas Iftner