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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

I read the manuscript by N'Diaye and co-workers entitled “Effectiveness and Cost of Quick Diagnostic Tests to Determine Tetanus Immunity in Patients with a Wound in French Emergency Departments”.

In my opinion, the manuscript is well written, easy to read and of interest for the readers and worthy of publication in the journal. The conclusions are sound with the starting hypothesis.

I have only few suggestions:

1) page numbers are missing

2) It is not clear the consistency of the casuistry and how the subjects have been distributed in “medical interview” or “TQS”

3) under subheading data and sources, third paragraph, first line: 18-59 or 18-64?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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