Author's response to reviews

Title: Dengue NS1 antigen as a marker of severe clinical disease

Authors:

Shiran A Paranavitane (shiran_p@live.com)
Laksiri Gomes (laksiri79@gmail.com)
Achal Kamaladasa (achalajd@gmail.com)
Thiruni N Adikari (thiruniadikari@yahoo.com)
Nilanka Munasinghe (nilanka_101@ymail.com)
Chandima L Jeewandara (jeewandarak@yahoo.com)
N L Shyamali (ajanthaliyanage@gmail.com)
Graham S Ogg (graham.ogg@ndm.ox.ac.uk)
Gathsaurie Neelika Malavige (gathsaurie.malavige@ndm.ox.ac.uk)

Version: 5
Date: 2 October 2014

Author's response to reviews: see over
Prof. Philippa Harris,
Executive Editor,
BMC Infectious Diseases.

Dear Prof. Harris,

We wish to thank you again and the reviewers for the positive comments and for the careful review of our manuscript. We have highlighted the changes in yellow in the re-revised manuscript. We have addressed each of the points below:

Reviewer 1
Comments: Minor Essential Revisions:
1. Reference: Put "WHO" at the beginning of the reference (like reference 17)
Response: Thank you for this comment. We have amended this in the revised manuscript.

2. Table 1: change the sentence: "Differences in mean..." as in the methods section
Response: Thank you for this comment. We have amended this in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer 2:
This article tried to improve the outcome prediction of Dengue patients. The research question is interesting. In general, this version is better than the previous article.
Comment:
1. The figure 1B was not included in the revised manuscript.

Response: We are sorry that this was not seen by the reviewer. It had been included in the revised manuscript as 1A and 1B were in the same figure. We are sorry if this was not clear.
Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Dr. Neelika Malavige