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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have made many changes to the manuscript as part of the revision. The manuscript is definitely improved since last reviewed. However, in many respects the issues identified at previous review have not been understood or addressed. For example, while some limitations have been listed, the limitation of not using a validated questionnaire is not mentioned among these.

The paper still has substantial issues with language (the English still could be improved in many respects; and this is compounded by many of the sentences being long and hard to follow).

Although a formula is provided, it remains unclear on how the authors decided the numbers required for the study. The presentation of the results and analysis in many areas remains challenging to follow.

The manuscript could be improved by focussing on the research question and presenting the results. For example, in the abstract, the first three sentences in "background" could be deleted to free up word count and to make space for more results to be presented in the "results" section.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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