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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

Thank you for the consideration and review of our manuscript entitled “Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice and Associated Factors of Blood Donation among Health Care Workers in Ethiopia: A Cross-sectional study” for possible publication once again. As per the comments of the editor and reviewers, exhaustive revisions were made. To mention,

As per editor’s comment:

“Introduction” heading was changed even before to “Background” (Line 55).

The text is edited by a native English speaking colleague and an application named “Grammerly”.

The “Background” and “Methods” part of the abstract and some parts of the “Background” in the body are revised accordingly (Lines 26-29, 31-36, 56-70).

As per Edson Zangiacomi Martinez (Reviewer 1) comments:

The authors are very thankful for the acceptance of the prior revision.

As per Erica Wood (Reviewer 2) comment:

Thank you for your concerns in our manuscript once again and the issues raised are mentioned hereunder.
Not using a validated questionnaire is mentioned as a limitation of the study (Discussion section, Line 265)

The text is edited by a native English speaking colleague and an application named “Grammarly” once again. Hence, issues related with spelling, grammar, punctuations and convention were revised in a point-by-point fashion to clarify and maintain sentence concordances (Most of the highlights in the main document).

The study was conducted among 500 health care workers of Wolaita Sodo University Teaching and Referral Hospital. Hence, the number of the health care workers in the hospital (i.e. 500) were taken as the study population and samples were taken using single population proportion formula for finite population (Method section, Lines 114-115)

Some sentences of the background in the abstract are deleted and the result parts in the abstract are elaborated (Abstract section, Lines 26-29, 39-47). Some, the likely difficult to follow, sentences in “Result” part are revised to be more clear (Results section, Lines 194-197)

Finally, I would like to thank you for your invaluable contribution and consideration of our manuscript for publication once again.

With Best regards,

Assistant Professor Mebratu Legesse Bekele

Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia