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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Statement on ethical approval along with the full name of the committee for this case study should be provided in the manuscript. Also, please provide the reference number for your ethical approval.

Because this is a case report and not a research study, ethical approval was not applicable. I did however obtain written and verbal consent directly from the patient, which I have noted in the manuscript.

2. Additionally, title mentioned in the manuscript is slightly different from that mentioned in the editorial submission system. Please clarify.

I do apologize. The title in the submission system should now match the manuscript title, where I added the words "A Case Report" at the end.

3. Also, we noticed that this is a single-author paper but the covering manuscript mentions 'we/our'.

I apologize for any confusion. Although I was a rotating student who worked at this orthopedic clinic and thus referred to my preceptors, I did all the work of this manuscript. I did acknowledge the kind people at the clinic at the end, though.

4. Place your abbreviations before the 'Declarations' section.

The abbreviations section was placed at the end of the title page, not after the declarations section. Let me know because I may be confused here.
5. Place the 'Acknowledgements' within the 'Declarations' section.

Thank you, I have fixed this error.

6. Author’s Contributions read as follows: "William Sanders worked on this review and did all of the researching groundwork as well as typing and formatting the work." Given that this is a case report, please update the word 'review'.

The error has been fixed. I apologize, I must have meant review in the loose way and not literally a subject review.