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Abstract:
1. review the title.
Line 3 - 'hematological parameters' sounds like a system
line 20 - correct "were not shown"
line 22 - correct "were significantly differ"

Introduction:
line 42 - rephrase 'categorised into tow categories"
43 - can be occured without
46 - add "may"lead
line 50 - add reference
line 58 - correct "regarding to"

Method:
76 - data "were"
82 - by using both new and old cases, how do you adjust for those already of antihypertensives whom the drugs might have normalized the BP and affected the attendant haematological effects.
109- grouped "into" two
Results:
what is your sampling method? Male:female of 1:2 makes it look like HTN is more prevalent in females than in males. Any explanation to this?
113/114 - rephrase.

Discussion:
133 - no need for p-value and "r"
141 - but in contradicted...
151 - incomplete sentence
164-169 - free hemoglobin scavenges NO and is released when there is hemolysis. Is there hemolysis in hypertension? Can you tie up free hemoglobin and high hemoglobin concentration

Conclusion:
Strong points, can not be deduced from the discussion.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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