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Karlskrona 12st February 2020
To the editors
BMC Geriatrics

Dear editors,

Thank you for considering our manuscript entitled: Use of potentially inappropriate medication and polypharmacy in older adults: a repeated cross-sectional study after revision for publication in BMC Geriatrics.

We appreciate the editor’s feedback to improve the paper further. We have addressed the comments in full and enclose a point-by-point list of our responses. Please note that the changes in the revised manuscript are not marked by Track Changes as requested. This manuscript has neither been published nor is currently under consideration for publication by any other journal. None of the authors have any conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript. All authors have read the final revised version of the manuscript and agree on its publication.

Yours sincerely,
Kristine Thorell, Patrik Midlöv, Johan Fastbom, Anders Halling
Lund University
Department of Clinical Sciences
Box 50332
202 13 Malmö

Tel: +46709467164
mail: Kristine.thorell@med.lu.se
We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:


This overlap mainly exists in the Discussion section.

While we understand that this is work that you have previously published, and some of the same ideas are contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.

Please re-phrase these sections to minimise overlap.
Answer: We apologise for the overlaps. We have not re-phrased the sections.

2. Please include a Keywords section below your abstract listing three to ten keywords representing the main content of the article.
Answer: We have now added a Keywords section after the abstract.

3. If abbreviations are used in the text they should be defined in the text at first use, and a list of abbreviations should be provided in the Declarations.
Answer: We have added an abbreviation list in the Declarations and made sure that all abbreviations are defined in the text when first used.

4. Please state clearly in the 'Ethics Approval and consent to participate' section if your method of opt-out consent was approved by the institutional review board.
Answer: We have now clarified that the opt out method was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund (Dnr 2015/712).

5. In the section 'Funding', please also describe the role of the funding body/bodies in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Answer: We have clarified the role of the founding body.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional-supplementary files are cited within the text.
Answer: We have uploaded the manuscript without any tracked changes.