Reviewer’s report

Title: Exploring the experiences of the older adults who are brought to live in shelter homes in Karachi, Pakistan: A Qualitative Study

Version: 1 Date: 06 Aug 2019

Reviewer: Annelie Sundler

Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is much improved. Even though, I still have some problems with the analysis and the results, and would recommend the authors to revise and improve the result section.

Consider to be more consistent. The results are presented in themes, categories and subcategories. The themes are described in a short text. Unfortunately the categories are confusing. While some categories are described in a short text, similar to the themes, other categories are described in a longer text with extracts. In addition, some of the categories have subcategories, and these subcategories are described with a longer text with extracts, similar to some of the categories. This is somewhat confusing, and the authors are inconsistent with what are categories or subcategories.

Moreover the naming of some categorise are a bit short, not making sense for the reader, as for instance the theme "Experiences" - as all results are illustrating experiences. What kind of experiences are described in that theme? Needs to be developed.

Still, the naming of the categories in the text are not similar to the text in the figure, for instance the subcategory Faith on God/Allah is solely Faith in the figure. There are some more discrepancy as well.

The text in the results could be shortened and more focused. While the background section is approximately two pages, the results are almost 12 pages. The manuscript would benefit from being more focused.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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