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Reviewer's report:

The revisions made have improved the manuscript. Still the paper can be more concise and I would recommend the author to improve the manuscript.

Consider to delete information regarding statistical analysis of demographics. If this was at qualitative study such analysis is not part of the findings. Rather demographic descriptions is presented in the methods, in the section for participants, in qualitative studies like this.

In the authors response to reviewer it was stated that data was purely collected through interviews, this is not consistent with the description of data collection. Please review data collection. As interviews were audio recorded, I do not understand why other forms of data was needed for validation. It does not make sense to me why such validation was needed, if not used in the analysis. If other data was used for validation, please describe how and give examples of what this validation adds to what was said in the interviews.

I still think that more work is needed to make the results more clear and robust. For example categories and subcategories are confusing, in some categories the subcategories are described, in others it is not. Moreover, categories and subcategories in the findings are not consistent with those presented in figure 1. Please be consistent and more clear. Still, the findings can be further developed in terms of analysis. It is not enough to say "One participants explained", or "One of the participants narrated" or "Another recalled" and so on. There needs to be descriptive text on the content of categories and subcategories. According to Elo and Kyngäs (2007) the analysis process is incomplete if there are more citations than authorial text. I suggest the authors to develop the text and not solely to present citations.
Still, the discussion needs to be developed. If this study was ground breaking in this area, this needs to be stressed and discussed in a proper way. The international relevance and how the study advances existing knowledge is required. Emphasise the unique findings and the contribution of new knowledge of this study.

Please consider to not use numbered lists in the discussion.

The manuscript also need to follow the author guidelines for the journal.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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