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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the detailed responses to the concerns raised in the initial review. I believe the changes made to the manuscript has strengthened the work and it will be a useful contribution to the literature.

I have two issues that you may wish to consider in future versions of this project:

a) The videos should all be approximately the same length. Currently version 2 is only half as long as the other two. Any difference in evaluation may be confounded by length rather than content.

b) Including some simple participant coding may be helpful in the analysis re: personalization, i.e., participants can be instructed to develop a personalized code (e.g., M65Y - this would indicate: Sex, age and fall status (i.e., male, 65 yo, has fallen). By having this included in any responses made by participants (written or spoken), the researchers could identify potential discriminating factors related to the various media content/forma, without compromising confidentiality.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional
statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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