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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting analysis examining mortality and readmission rates in older patients with pelvic fractures and comparing to a population-based cohort and an age-matched cohort with hip fracture.

Suggestions:

1) baseline characteristics are presented for the pelvic fracture group but not for the hip fracture group.

While this comparison group appears to be age and sex matched it would be good to see if they have similar rates of cognitive impairment or CCI for example as these factors will also impact on mortality. Is it possible to present these in/alongside Table 1?

2) is it possible to examine mortality rates for the different types of pelvic fracture, e.g. Rami vs. Acetabular vs. LC?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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