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Author’s response to reviews:

Editor Comments:
1. Please see the remaining reviewers’ comments, below.

2. Please include a title page to your manuscript that is properly formatted according to our submission guidelines (https://bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article). The title page should list the full names and institutional addresses for all authors. Please also indicate the corresponding author and include their email address.

I have included the title page on pg 1-2 of the revised manuscript.

3. In the Ethics approval and consent to participate section of the Declarations, please state whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants.

Please see pg 19 of the revised manuscript.
4. We noticed authors Susana Harding and Mary Ann Geronimo are not included in the Authors’ contributions section. Please add their initials and individual contributions to this section.  

Thank you for highlighting this. Please see pg 20 of the revised manuscript.

5. In your Funding section, please also state the role of the funding body in the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; and in writing the manuscript.  

This has been added on pg 19 of the revised manuscript.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

This has been done in the latest revised manuscript.

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:

I'm not sure that translating a survey that's written in English into other languages automatically results in cultural sensitivity or appropriateness.

I would like to see 1-2 more sentences (page 9) to clarify how you ensured cultural sensitivity. Perhaps a clearer explanation of what you mean by pilot testing it with the different ethnic groups and discussion of what changes were made if needed.

I have added an additional sentence to clarify how I pilot-tested it with different ethnic groups on pg 10 of the revised manuscript.