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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this interesting work. However, I have some major concerns at this point, mainly about the paper organization and presentation, while the methods are sometimes confusing. It seems to me that the research aims to implement with real Spanish/Latino sample a previously validated fall prevention program (SO). However, no reference to the validation of such program was made, or at least I am not sure why of the references 7-8 was. This information and the methods of the transcultural adaptation is necessary to properly follow this work. The objectives do not seem to be well defined, or do not correspond with the work shown in the paper. It would be necessary that the authors clarify the extent of objective 1, which states that "The primary objective of this paper is to describe the effects of the adaptation and modifications on the adoption and implementation of PF", but effects on what? This should be clarified. In addition, I wonder whether the effects should be compared to matched-controls? Other example: no mention to program costs is made in the objectives, but this is developed in the methods. If the cost of the program is something somehow important that the research aims to resolve, this should be stated from the beginning. Regarding the methods section, this is not conventionally structured; there is lot of information but some other seems missing. I recommend to adhere to the EQUATOR network recommendations (i.e. STROBE) or similar to report this research.

Some other specific comments:

- Please include the study purpose in the abstract
- P3, L18. This is not a contribution to literature
- P4, L16. If a pilot study was implemented to validate the transcultural adaptation of the OS program, please reference such pilot study?
- P4, L18. I do not think introduction is the place to introduce tables
- P4, L19 to P5, L2. This information seems the research methods, and should not be placed here. Introduction only should present the background, rationale and objective (s) of the
work, maybe an overview of what was done is fine, but not a detailed one, and also to state why this work is important against similar or previous literature

- P4, L10. Reorganize the methods: Reorganize: study design, setting, participants, intervention, outcomes, data analysis…

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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